UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,796	03/23/2001	Paul E. McKenney	BEA9-2001-0001-US1	5819

30011 7590 02/23/2005

LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC
12221 MCDONALD CHAPEL DRIVE
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20878

EXAMINER	
TSAI, HENRY	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2183	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/816,796	MCKENNEY, PAUL E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry W.H. Tsai	2183	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *07 December 2004* .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-25* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-25* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

at page 2, line 16, "15" should read -16-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robertson (U.S. Patent No. 5,850,632), hereafter referred to as Robertson'632.

Referring to claims 1 and 12, Robertson'632 discloses as claimed a method for maximizing CPU performance in a multiprocessor (see Fig. 2), comprising: (a) allowing write operations in local memory (each of the instruction caches 21, 26, 31, and 36, see Fig. 2, is broadly interpreted as a local memory) to execute in an arbitrary order (see col. 16, lines 31-34, regarding the caches being fully associative. Note each of the instruction caches 21, 26, 31, and 36, see Fig. 2, is individually and locally used by the associated processors 71-74, see Fig. 2; and a full associative replacement algorithm is used to have an arbitrary order to replace the cache lines thereof); and (b) explicitly indicating a set of write operations to non-local memory (memory configuration cache 305, see Fig. 2, is broadly interpreted as a non-local memory since it is not disposed inside the processors 71-74, see Fig. 2) be conducted in a specified order (see col. 31, lines 38-57, regarding the specified order for writing (replacing) the cache lines of the memory configuration cache 305).

As to claims 2, 13, and 23, Robertson'632 also discloses: assigning first and second registers of a CPU for storing associated first and second instruction addresses (note the Robertson'632's processor certainly comprises registers such as PC (program counter), MAR (memory address register), General

Data/Address Registers, or CAR (control address register for storing instruction addresses).

As to claim 3, Robertson'632 also discloses: providing a third instruction referencing said registers (this is the situation when the registers, such as PC (program counter), MAR (memory address register), General Data/Address Registers, or CAR (control address register) storing the first and second instruction addresses is referred to as the source or destination registers in a third instruction).

As to claims 4 and 14, Robertson'632 also discloses: said third instruction specifies ordering between said first and second instructions (this is the situation when the registers storing the first and second instruction addresses are referred to as the destination registers in a third LOAD instruction, therefore, certainly operating the ordering between said first and second instructions).

As to claims 5 and 15, Robertson'632 also discloses: said third instruction indicates said first instruction's execution attaining a first specified state of execution prior to said second instruction's execution attaining a second specified state of execution (note this occurs in the Robertson'632's system when either one of the first instruction and the second instruction depends from the other and each instruction's

execution invokes its state of execution specified by such as its opcode).

As to claims 6 and 16, Robertson'632 also discloses: said first and said second specified states of execution are selected from the group consisting of: committing instruction execution, initiating memory access, completing a memory access, initiating an I/O access, completing an I/O access, and completing instruction execution (note this occurs in the Robertson'632's system when the first instruction and the second instruction is a memory load/store operation and it therefore certainly involves at least one of initiating memory access, completing a memory access as claimed).

As to claims 7, 17, and 24, Robertson'632 also discloses: assigning a sequence number to an associated instruction for maintaining instruction ordering (note this is the situation in the Robertson'632's system when a sequence of program to be executed is saved in the Robertson'632's main memory and each instruction in the program is assigned by a logical address or physical address number)

As to claims 8 and 18, Robertson'632 also discloses: statically encoding said sequence number within said instruction (inherently existing in the Robertson'632's processor when a sequence of program is therein).

As to claims 9 and 19, Robertson'632 also discloses: dynamically encoding said sequence number within said instruction (as set forth above, inherently the Robertson'632's processor comprises registers such as MAR (memory address register), General Data/Address Registers, or CAR (control address register for storing instruction addresses and for dynamically encoding the sequence number).

As to claims 10 and 20, Robertson'632 also discloses: placing a range of instructions into a hierarchical ordering system (note the control unit of the Robertson'632's CPU is reasonably and broadly interpreted as a hierarchical ordering system and a range of instructions is inherently placed in a process table).

As to claims 11, 21 and 25, Robertson'632 also discloses: implementing a special instruction for maintaining a hierarchical execution of said instruction (such as a microinstruction, existing in the Robertson'632's processor for control the instruction execution, which is broadly interpreted as a special instruction for maintaining a hierarchical execution).

Referring to claim 22, Robertson'632 discloses as claimed a processor for use in a multiprocessor computer system (see Fig. 2), comprising: a first instruction for allowing write

operations in local memory (each of the instruction caches 21, 26, 31, and 36, see Fig. 2, is broadly interpreted as a local memory) to occur in an arbitrary order (see col. 16, lines 31-34, regarding the caches being fully associative. Note each of the instruction caches 21, 26, 31, and 36, see Fig. 2, is individually and locally used by the associated processors 71-74, see Fig. 2; and a full associative replacement algorithm is used to have an arbitrary order to replace the cache lines thereof), a second instruction for explicitly indicating a set of write operations to non-local memory (memory configuration cache 305, see Fig. 2, is broadly interpreted as a non-local memory since it is not inside the processors 71-74, see Fig. 2) to be conducted in a specified order (see col. 31, lines 38-57, regarding the specified order for writing (replacing) the cache lines of the memory configuration cache 305); and a third instruction for managing order of execution of said first and second instructions (note the above limitations are disclosed by Robertson'632 as set forth above in claim 4); wherein execution of said second instruction is responsive to said first instruction reaching a specified state of execution and said specified state of execution is selected from the group consisting of: committing instruction execution, initiating memory access, completing a memory access, initiating an I/O

access, completing an 1/0 access, and completing instruction execution (note the above limitations are disclosed by Robertson'632 as set forth above in claims 5 and 6).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments mailed 12/7/04 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As set forth in the art rejections above Robertson'632 teaches the claimed invention.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-4162. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to **the TC central telephone number, 571-272-2100.**

6. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2100 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into **the Group at fax number: 703-872-9306.** This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2100 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

HENRY W. H. TSAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
February 18, 2005